

February 25, 2017 - Pre-Recorded World Satsanga for The Kevin Moore Show

Transcript of Pre-Recorded World Satsanga by Guy Steven Needler:

“Welcome everybody to this World Satsanga on the 25th of February 2017 held in conjunction with Kevin Moore and The Moore Show, a joint collaborative venture between Kevin and myself to transmit as much information on the greater reality to as wide a population as possible for all different broadcasting means. So thank you very much for dialing in to this particular method of broadcast, and thank you for looking at Kevin’s website.

So today we’ve got quite a bit to go through actually. First of all, there’s a short talk by myself on conspiracy theories and how they affect us. Then I’ve got a whole bunch of questions to do with various aspects of sort of my books and other particular subject matters. And then we’re going to do an end of meet meditation to help others see the greater reality.

Part 1. Lecture on “Conspiracy theories and how they affect us?”

So let’s start with conspiracy theories. I actually used to like conspiracy theories, and talking about alternative reasons or the supposed reasons that happened behind certain subjects and events surrounding those subjects that we find out about. And one of the conspiracies that we’d all like to know about is Roswell, for instance, and the UFO crashing. How things changed? How news changed? And also how things in our own government changed, since we had the Falklands war. We had one piece of news come out one week, and that news was completely rotated into 180 degrees opposite the other week.

So we start to talk about these different things, and understanding or trying to understand an alternative viewpoint. But what it actually does for us is actually quite negative really from the perspective of our frequencies. When we start to communicate with each other and discuss these sorts of things, we enter into a sort of collaborative level of not so much coercion, but a mutual way of gaining synergy through discussing things that aren’t particularly high frequency. So we start to go into this slight downward spiral.

Because really talking about conspiracy theories is very close to, but not exactly the same as gossip basically. When we have gossip, we tend to talk about one person or another person, or a group of people or the actions of a group of people, or what they wear, what they think, what they do or earn and all this sort of stuff. And this is basically being judgmental about these individuals, based upon what we think they should be like.

And this is the same with conspiracy theories, we start to work on what we think happened, what we think is happening, what we think could happen. And so we start to discuss it with different people of like minds. Now when we get together with these people of like minds, we set up this synergetic effect, that means that everything that we do, say, think, and behave and act upon starts to get amplified by almost a mathematical sort of way of doing it. So if there’s two people involved, it becomes two times two equals four [$2 \times 2 = 4$]. The energy surrounding it becomes the power of four. If there’s four people there, it becomes 4×4 , which equals 16.

So when we start to work on conspiracy theories is the immersion within it, or the desire to go even deeper in it becomes more and more coercive as it were, because we have the power of 16 individuals working together, rather than just the four, for instance, if it was four, as in this example.

So all they do is they allow us to talk about a subject without a conclusion, and without just closing the energy off. So we continue to be drawn into it, to be addicted to communicating with other people, who are also addicted to certain subjects that have no known end, no known termination point, and so we waste our energy.

As we waste our energy, we go through this downward spiral that allows us to move down the frequencies, because we start to become more embroiled with the political things that could cause the lack of information that's caused the information to be classified as conspiracy rather than actual information. So we get this misinformation coming into it as well. So misinformation is broadcast, and where misinformation is broadcast, it's like telling a lie. So the energy surrounding broadcasting incorrect information, sometimes classified as conspiracy, sometimes classified as explanation for a certain conspiracy contains the same level of energy as broadcasting lies.

(5 min) And so again we spiral down, and we attract others, who are also of a like mind, and they spiral down. So collectively, we get this synergetic effect, where we're all spiralling down the frequencies. And we become more and more immersed, engrossed, consumed by communicating in this way with individuals who are of a similar mind, and whose energy we feed off.

So eventually, we come to a point there...where we've come right down the frequencies, and any frequential gain that we've got as a result of working with higher frequential thought processes, such as meditation, discussing spiritual knowledge, actual knowledge rather than sort of considered knowledge, or new knowledge, or old knowledge that's become reunderstood, that lifts us up. And although that's not gossip as such, that's discussion and, if you like, it's research, and so that brings us up the frequencies. And so all those frequential advances we would have had or movements upwards we would have had would have been lost, when we start to get involved with conspiracy theories—simply because the subject matter doesn't go anywhere.

If you look at all the conspiracy theories that you've been exposed to, or individuals around you have exposed you to or discussed, and you've discussed yourselves, just notice that there's no end, no termination point, that allows you to finish off, and stop the further progress of continued discussion, where there's no actual end, because there isn't an actual level of final detail that allows us to say: Actually that story is finished, because this is what happened, or that's what happened, or this is a certain point where there's nothing else to go for it yet, because there isn't a termination point yet, for instance.

So conspiracy theories work very well with each other to bring us down the frequencies, to create this surrogate gossip type of mentality and personality. So do your best to try to avoid them. Now clearly it's going to be difficult, because everybody around us has got some level of discussion about things that they think should happen in certain ways, or maybe this happened or maybe that happened.

But the thing to do is to, you know, you can listen to it, but don't get involved with it. If people try to engage you in it, just refuse to be drawn into it and say: Oh, I don't have a comment on that, or I'm not really interested. And that way you won't get pulled into the energy that they've set up, this collective synergetic effect, that pulls us down the frequencies, or force people who previously were of a higher frequency to go down to their level of frequency through being included in the synergetic effect associated with low frequency thoughts, behaviors and actions that are resulting from discussing conspiracy theories that have no termination point.

Okay, so that's rather a short talk on conspiracy theories and what they do. Obviously, the subject matter surrounding conspiracy theories is as wide as it is deep. And any subject you can

talk about where there's a subject that is potentially being discussed or looked after or controlled by a larger governing body, such as governments or local governments or councils or senates, for instance, can be classified—or even top secret research, for instance, or even scientific research I suppose with the medical means—all of these things can be classified as being a potential source of subject matter for a conspiracy theory that we're born within.

So just be aware, that keep your own counsel, maintain your own frequential state, and work with people who aren't interested about conspiracy theories, who aren't bothered about being drawn into it, who realize that actually it's drawing them down the frequencies themselves, and is starting to pull their own energies down as a result of that.

So now we can move into the questions having dealt with conspiracy theory, but it's interesting that one of the questions I'm about to go through would arguably touch that subject matter anyway. So here we go.

Part 2. Questions and Answers

And these questions have been sparked by some of the information, or the text that was in "The Greater Reality Newsletter" earlier in February 2017.

1. Source said: "The Earth's incarnate population is currently in a continued downward trend...Refuse to be drawn down by low frequency actions and interactions... Choose detachment rather than attachment or interaction." Gandhi said BE the change you wish to see in the world, but also used nonviolent resistance or action, such as protests, civil disobedience, economic or political noncooperation without violence to make major social changes.

(10 min) So the question here is:

- **In practical terms, are we supposed to detach ourselves and just stick to our individual spiritual practices? Is that enough to change the world?**

And the answer is yes, basically. The idea is to remain spiritual and have to be in the physical without the physical. So it's the sort of work with it, but don't get immersed in it. Don't get sucked into it. Don't deal with it as if it is the reality.

Think in terms of the greater reality. Go about your daily business with love in your heart, and knowing that you're working for yourself and for God, the Source, and that anything you do is allowing you to evolve, that everything is basically some form of test to see if you can work in the physical but not be of the physical. Continuing on this the question is:

- **OR are we supposed to take collective action as incarnate beings, such as getting together with like-minded people to march FOR something we want to see in the world (rather than protest against something we don't want)? How do we balance detachment vs. interaction in this day and age?**

The biggest teaching or learning point for any civilization is leading by example. And so being detached, showing detachment whilst interacting with the individuals and the environment that you're supposed to interact with, and the subjects and the workplace that you need to, including your own work, is what it's all about.

So if things happen to us that generally people will think are negative, if we think of it in terms of the potential to learn something, or evolve in some way, then we would be grateful for that experience. And so the objective is to recognize what is going to draw you in, what is going to immerse you in. Conspiracy theories being one of these things, which can easily draw us in, and gossip as well. So it is very difficult for us to do so. But it's also very easy as well.

If you think about it, if you didn't look at the news every day, then you wouldn't get drawn into the stuff that's happening around you. So you can isolate yourself from the general sort of nonsense, that's happens around us locally and around the world, and just go about our daily business, go about our business of being spiritual, go about our business of fulfilling our responsibilities in our career. But just don't get sucked into the nonspiritual nonsense, the arguments, the discussions, the gossip and the conspiracy theories, and stay detached.

And in staying detached, you're showing an example of how you can be spiritual in a material world. You can be in the physical but not of the physical. And that gives an example to others. And others will see how serene you are, how calm you are, how you don't get wrapped up into arguments about silly little things, and they'll start to see that you've got a better way of life. And demonstrating that better way of life, people will copy you, and in copying you, you provide the platform for a better environment for us all to live in. So by being the example, we teach others. The second part is:

2. Source said: "Be firm in your spiritual beliefs, turn them into certainties and not possibilities." You said we do create our own reality, and you are learning more about this from "The Curators," the book you're writing now. We know there are multiple parallel versions of ourselves experiencing various parallel conditions.

- **When we find ourselves in this downward trending reality, HOW do we consciously create or choose a higher frequency trajectory (timeline or event stream), where we could be moving forwards, not backwards to things like neofascism, corporate malfeasance, protectionism, persecution, separation? If we do nothing, which is also a choice, then things will likely spiral downwards?**
- **We don't need another World War. We need some practical guidance into this situation on our world. It's hard to know whether to act or not, how to balance being here vs. doing something useful, that would do the most good for the most people worldwide? Please help us!**

Again it's all about projecting who and what you are. The comment about Gandhi is be what you want to experience, or be what you want to be and let others copy you is the way forwards. So we create, we turn our spiritual beliefs into certainties, into knowledge by being what our spiritual beliefs are, by being what our spiritual knowledge is, by broadcasting it.

(15 min) Not specifically shouting off on top of a soap box, but being it, you know. Be the person we feel a spiritual person should be, and think, behave and act in that way. And that shows other individuals how to think, behave and act as well. And people, if they're of a like mind, will copy that, and become their own individual. And that they'll turn their own spiritual beliefs into certainties and will start an upward castaway, where you've got one person assists somebody else by being the good example, and then there's two people. Then two people can create four, then four can create eight, and eight can create sixteen, and sixteen create 32.

So we only need to start on our own, and be what we wanted to be, be what we want the rest of the world on our own, and the rest of the world will eventually follow. So I hope I answered that particular question.

There is sort of a follow on question now from “The Origin Speaks” where we talked about sort of event streams and timelines in the last question. The question is would I please explain this question in relation to the earlier question about choosing timelines and event streams.

3. In “The Origin Speaks” (p. 49), the Origin said: “Nothing is new; it’s just different entities experiencing the old in a different way, which is exactly what I desire, differentiated experience and understanding.”

- **You have said that the natural thing for the event stream to do is to go from the nearest event to the next event that should have happened, because it has already happened. How do we choose a higher frequency timeline in this context?**
- **Are we as individuals possibly repeating event streams that other beings have already done in their way in their time? Or is every entity choosing a unique path every time? I hope you see what I’m getting at here.**

Even if we are following an event stream and go from one event space to another, and it’s identical apart from 1% of 1% of 1%, then that 1% of 1% of 1% difference is worth experiencing. And so it doesn’t matter, if we’re going over old ground, if we’re providing an overlap. It’s the way we do it that counts.

Everybody can drive a motorcar, but everybody drives a motorcar in a different way. We all drive our motorcar to our place of work differently every day. We don’t use exactly the same gear changes. We don’t go on exactly the same piece of tarmac. We move in different ways. We experience different traffic conditions. We experience different weather conditions. We experience different times to get there, because we maybe left home early, or maybe we’ve left home a bit later, and we need to sort of get there a bit quicker.

So everything we do has a slight change to it, has a slight different twist to it. So if we think about it in terms of if we want to go to a higher timeline, then we have to plan how we’re going to do that. And so we can change the inevitable timeline, so to speak—that if we didn’t think about what we were doing, we just did it, which is what most of us do basically—and we start to consider what we want to do, and make a considered response, and a considered series of actions, or thoughts and behaviors and actions, then we can start to choose a higher frequency event space or event stream or timeline, and move in that direction.

And so in essence, we start to choose a higher path. If somebody asks us a question that starts to annoy us, we shouldn’t react, we shouldn’t snap back. We should wait a moment, and look for what the proper response should be. We should wait for a moment and log into them, understand where they’re coming from? Why they’re interacting with us in this way? Why are they angry? Why are they frustrated? Why are they making us frustrated? What part of the interaction between us is karmic? What part of the interaction between us is an opportunity to remove some karma? What part of the interaction between us is testing the previously removed karmic link, to see if we have in fact totally removed it? And so we start to think in a more considered way.

Now this means that we won’t be initially feeding back to people as fast as they would like us to. And they may think we’re a bit slow. But eventually you get to the point, where you can do this quite instantaneously. You learn to understand somebody where they’re coming from, where they’re going to, what they’re experiencing, the sort of frame of mind that they’re in before they’ve even engaged you?

(20 min) And so by the time they've said the first sort of three or four words, you already know how to interact with them, and choose the higher timeline in this respect. So it's, you know, because most of us, when we start to have somebody who is aggressive to us, we start to respond in either an aggressive or a defensive way, whereas if you responded in a neutral way, we dissipate the energy associated with it, and therefore, we've taken the higher frequency timeline or event stream, as the case may be.

So it's again choosing the higher one, and noticing that everything we do, even though we repeat ourselves at times, has very minor changes at some point. And maybe there's thousands or hundreds of thousands or millions of different entities that have experienced what we're experiencing now in various different parallel ways, but the way we do it will be unique to us, and therefore will be of benefit to the Source, and of course to the Origin.

So another set of questions here, and this is sparked by the Source's words in the February Newsletter.

4. The Pleadians said that it takes 144,000 people to create a "grid of compassion," which may happen after a natural disaster or a terrorist event (e.g. 9/11), but then dissipates. You said the critical mass required to pull everybody up together in "group ascension" is 10% of the world population.

- **In 2014, you estimated that only 14 million people were becoming awake and aware, much less than the 700 million people we needed to get 10% (because we've got 7 billion people on the planet right now).**
- **Are there many more people awake and aware in the world now in 2017, even though it looks like chaos in the external world?**

I'm just asking the question actually, and the response is we've actually lost a few, because we've gone through a collective downward spiral a little bit. As we ascend the frequencies, we go through this saw tooth profile, where the mean of the saw tooth profile, which always goes up and down, is actually a nominal upwards sort of, so we are moving upwards.

But recently we've gone through what I would call a wood saw profile, where rather than having teeth that go up and down and they are of a known size. With a big wood saw (a big wood hand saw that we used to have in the old days before we had saw mills), you have a big tooth with little teeth on it, and then it drops down to a big tooth again. So you have big teeth with little teeth on top. And where we are now is we've dropped down a valley between one of these big teeth, so to speak.

So we've had a lower than expected drop down, and it's caught everybody out, including me. I didn't expect us to be coming down this far. But in essence, we've probably lost around two million people, who have been aware and awake. People who were becoming spiritual have sort of abandoned it, or got disillusioned, or got fed up, or become bored, for instance. And so we have lost people.

But on the other side, we have other people who are starting to become aware and awake again. And these people who are becoming aware and awake now in this downward trend are more resilient than those individuals who we've lost. So although we've lost about two million people, bringing us down to 12 million, we've got the potential—I'm being told—to go up to 26 million by the end of the decade.

So right now we're going down, and we've lost a few people in terms of this critical mass we need, but actually, although we've lost a few, we're going to gain a load more individuals, who are more resilient to the upwards and downward trends, because they're becoming aware and awake on the way down. The next part of it is:

- **Are we still hovering between frequency bands 3.10-3.15 as a collective (humanity as a whole)?**

Right now we're in frequency band 3. I'll explain the 3.10 or 3.15 in a moment. But basically we exist in the first three frequencies. Mankind calls or scientists call them "dimensions" but my understanding is dimensions are a much higher piece of structure within the multiversal environment that the Source created for us. It's the frequencies that create our gross physicality, the lower frequencies, the first three [frequency bands 1-3].

(25 min) As we go up the frequencies, we start to get to those higher pieces of structure, which allow the gross physical to exist. And so the frequencies 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 are what allow the framework that allow the gross physical aspects of what we are, and our environment, and the multiverse at this level to exist.

So we can move up the frequencies, so to speak, through sort of sub-frequential states. And this comment about 3.10 and 3.15 is in essence moving from the 3rd frequency up to the 4th. It's like an upward and downward saw tooth profile again. So the question about are we still hovering between these bands, between 3.10 and 3.15—bearing in mind that we've lost some people in terms of our critical mass, or work towards gaining a critical mass—would give you a logical thought that no, that we've actually gone down.

But my understanding is we're about 3.13, because we had gone higher than 3.15 at one point. We had gone up to about 3.70, and so we've dropped down as a result of this big saw tooth profile, this big tooth on the wood saw profile that we've got. So we are coming down, but we've sort of—I'm looking at it now from where I'm sitting, and looking at the way we are moving.

We're about to bottom out, so we're not going to go much lower than this 3.13, and then we'll be able to go back up again. And with all these other individuals going to be starting to become aware and awake, who started to become aware and awake on the downward trend, rather than an upward trend, which is an interesting thing to observe, then we'll start to move upwards and start to go back up towards this potential 3.7 we got to earlier, and hopefully higher than that as well.

- **If some individuals are operating at higher levels, are they still at FB3 or ascended into FB4 or FB5 levels?**

There are people who are, shall I say, "immune" to these downward trends, because they continue to hold their own frequencies. And there are people, who do operate at levels higher than frequency level 3, and have moved into frequency level 4. And some of those who are in frequency level 4 have gone to 5 simply because they work on themselves. They are strict with themselves in terms of how they think, behave and act. They stay directly on the spiritual path. They don't interact with the material world as much as what everybody else does, and so they do move upwards. And these are individuals, who sort of, if you like, they keep themselves away from the general civilization, such as hermits, for instance, or people who go into a

reclusive state of being, such as some yogis, for instance. They only progress the way they do by limiting their contact with the outside world, or working in ashrams, where everybody else is working on themselves, and they're all helping each other move onwards and upwards. So these individuals are.

And there's a few individuals, who are able to work in the material world, even with very high levels of responsibility and materialistic wealth, who still manage to ascend, because they know that the material world and their wealth doesn't mean anything. And they're just using it for the benefit of themselves for the purpose of helping others exist on the planet, and sometimes if they have a business they're providing salaries for those people who work for them, for instance. So there are individuals, who are moving upwards.

- **Is it time to bring out more White children or other masters to help us get over this drop in frequency?**

My understanding is that there are still only about three White children here. And one of them is, well, the mother is a lady in China, the first one that I met in 2014, I believe. There's the one that was unborn as such, and was going to be born to a wonderful lady in London. And there was another one, who a lady was looking at, she was the godmother, so to speak, of this child. And although this child was going to be born to a mother, who was not the same as the mother I saw in China in terms of level of purity, the godmother was that level of purity and was going to look after that particular child.

(30 min) And I'm just seeing that there's supposed to be 12 White children. Four of them will be qualitative leaders, four will be quantitative leaders, and four will be behind the scenes, a bit like Babaji. From looking at this, what I'm seeing is there seems to be a slight delay in the rest of them coming through, because they want to start to come through again on the upward trend, to sort of help this upward trend to be a bit of a springboard for them.

So although they will come, and they will be here, and they will be classified as sort of "masters"—certainly those who are here and who are the qualitative teachers, and the ones in the background, so to speak, they'll be classified as masters—the same as Babaji or Jesus or even Yogananda, for instance. They will be classified as to that level, Krishna, for instance, and the Buddha as well. They will be in that league if not, I'm being told, slightly higher. So they will come, I'm being told, within the next sort of 20 years, we'll start to see the phenomenon that these individuals are.

The last set of questions from this particular lady, and I give great thanks to this lady, because she does the transcription, so thank you for that.

5. In "The History of God" Glossary, you mentioned three new terms. It's unclear whether they apply to our multiversal structure or not? Please give us some real life examples of each term, and what level they operate at?

- **Macro-universe - a complete universe where our own universe would serve to be the sub-atomic levels.**

So a macro-universe is a much bigger piece of structure, and if you like, it would still be within a multiversal structure, but just not our multiversal structure, so to speak. So this is looking at a structure, where there's structure within the structure. So a macro-universe is a complete universe, where our own universe would serve to be the subatomic particle levels.

- **Micro-universe - a complete universe at the scale of the sub-atomic.**

So basically, the micro-universe is what our universe would be within a macro-universe.

- **Minor-universe or a minorverse - a universe of lesser content in terms of dimension and frequency and habitation, one of lower importance.**

So that is basically the subatomic of our subatomic, if you understand. So if we have a condition, where we have the structure within the structure, so not specifically how our multiverse or local universe is created. This is to do with a different structure, where we have structure within a structure as a function of a static structure, if that makes any sense.

So we have a macro-verse, where we or where our universe is at the subatomic level. So if you think about the atoms within the macro-universe being what our particular universe is, and then the atoms within our universe would be the minor-universe. So the micro-universe is where we are now, the macro-universe is a bigger structure above us, and the minor-verse is the structure well below us.

Now these questions, sometimes I'm not particularly good at reading the questions and broadcasting them to you all, but there will be a transcript by this wonderful lady who does this. So I hope these questions will help you understand these answers.

But in essence, this is not a structure within our multiverse. This is an example of another structure that another Source Entity would create, for instance. Or another structure outside of our Source and within the Origin. So I hope that's clear. The use of our universe as being the sort of atomic structure of the larger macro-universe is useful, and that a minor-universe would be the atomic structure of our particular universe. I hope that as an example works for you all.

6. Considering the evolution in spiritual awareness since the time of Jesus, why hasn't the world seen modern day masters or Christs? Jesus said follow me and greater things you can do than I.

(35 min) Of course, that's all to do with follow him, do the work, spiritual work, and you will be able to become a higher frequency and get the functions associated with that.

- **Krishna and Buddha had similar teachings. But it appears not one soul has been able to replicate Jesus' transfiguration from a mind-body ego to becoming a Christ.**
- **Or did Ramana Maharishi, Yogananda and others also become Christ's and we just don't know it?**

There have been many individuals, who have become that level of master, and who've had functionality or powers, if you want to call it that, equal to or greater than Christ and maybe Krishna or Buddha, simply because the frequencies have been higher for these individuals to work with.

Babaji, for a startoff, was a very powerful master and still is a very powerful master. And that is a being, which is known in India, of course, and some people in the western world also recognize Babaji, because Babaji taught Jesus. And [Babaji] is still around today, can still manifest a form today and is still able to be here and work with us all today. So there are individuals still here, who have existed for a long time, who have mastered the energies surrounding who and what

they are, and their physicality and can manifest, materialize and dematerialize their gross physical form, and maintain their gross physical form in a youthful appearance as well.

In terms of where are these people, they tend to keep themselves out of the way. Any spiritual master that is, shall I say, quantitative—and actually Yogananda was quantitative in some ways, whilst also being qualitative, because of the number of individuals who followed him, that's the definition of quantitative. Qualitative means you have one or two students, and you only work with those. Work in the background means you work in the background, and don't take any praise or recognition for what you're doing. You just do it for the benefit of the world basically.

But many of those who are on the quantitative side do tend to lose a bit of their ability, because they're interfacing with us all the time. And they have to resist the possibility of showing off their functionality, their powers, and so this is difficult. And so people of this level tend to hide themselves away instead, and work in the background, because they know if they start to interface and show their abilities, then it becomes, shall we say, a marketable commodity in some people's minds. And they would be put under significant stress, so to speak, to show what they can do, be commercialized, they'll be under pressure to be here, there and everywhere, to heal thousands of people, and stuff like that.

So in today's world, it's very, very difficult for people to be like Jesus or somebody of higher power than Jesus, and not cause sensation. And these people don't seek sensation. So there's been quite a few and there still are, I'm being told, there's seven in the world, who are of this level, but they keep themselves out of the way, because they don't want to cause sensation. They want to be part of the energies around it, because eventually...ultimately it pulls their frequencies down. So I hope that answers that question.

Oh, I'm just told that there may be one of the White children in 20-50 years' time, who will show how being higher frequency allows our functionality to operate at a higher level, showing some of the material functions that people want to see, such as telepathy, teleportation, telekinesis, and those sorts of things. And he will be able to show it in a way, which can't be classified as being "magic" and he'll be able to explain how it can be done, how everybody can do it, but it needs to be pure. So that's going to be an interesting time, I think, probably towards the end of my particular lifetime. But at the end of the day, it will be interesting.

(40) But the thing is with the material functions or higher functions associated with being higher frequency, is that they are very attracted to people, who want to use them for material gain. And so it's difficult for these people to work in this way, and people have to watch themselves from being pulled into the commercial machine, so to speak, marketing machines. So that's why the expectation for this individual to come along, who can do all this stuff, and not be stuck into the commercial bandwagon, okay.

So the next set of questions here comes from the book "Beyond the Source" Book 2.

7. In BTS2, SE9 talks about a "tree mind," using the Aspen tree as an example, which "was introduced to cope with the ever increasing carbon emissions you have" and which can communicate with other Aspen trees on earth and in the universe. My questions are:

1) Who introduced Aspen trees to earth?

I'm told that, and I've never asked this question to be honest, I'm told that a group of entities, who were working with the Pleiadians—now the entities were higher frequency and wouldn't have been able to work on the gross physical themselves, because they remain in the energetic—but they worked with the Pleiadians, who are higher frequency than us, but are still nevertheless incarnate to be able to introduce them.

2) When and how were they introduced?

And they brought them from a location two galaxies from where we are now. And they were basically transported, materialized, I was just being told, making sure that they were able to work in the frequencies and in the environment that we have currently on Earth, and that they would proliferate. So they were able to be materialized here, teleported is one way of saying it. I'm being told the Pleiadians have this methodology, where they can rather than using a craft or a ship, they can use like transmitter stations or boosting stations, where they can move or teleport items from one place to another planet, but only through a certain distance. It's simply a limitation of the technology that they've got. But they sort of brought them here, you know, go from one station to another station.

And then they basically introduced them something like three million years ago, I'm being told here, to help with the carbon dioxide levels then. Because don't forget we or the Earth has been a work in progress for a long time. And although we've had civilizations that have come and gone within a million years, there's always been an opportunity to see what's going to happen here.

And of course, the entities who were working with the Pleiadians in this instance, could use different event spaces to introduce them. So although the Pleiadians are a race that we know now, and we think about them as existing in our timeline...although they could use technology to teleport these things, they could also be allowed to function with, I'm being told, the entities they were working with were a genre of Curators, that could allow them to use event space, so to speak, to move into the right time zone, and allow them to drop these things into the right place.

So they've been here for quite some time, as I was saying three million years, which surprised me to be honest. And when I was thinking about it, and I was just talking to you now, how would that happen, if the Pleiadians are sort of a recent sort of incarnate vehicle, that we as souls have been using? And I was told that they could only transport them through certain points with their own technology, then they have to use the help of Curators—well, they're under the direction of Curators. These are a group of entities, who maintain all aspects of the multiverse. And there are obviously a whole genre of these entities, who work with the gross physical universe as well as the higher frequencies of it as well. [They] allowed those then to be placed into an event space, that was far enough away in our past from our perspective, to allow them to grow and proliferate and move around the Earth. So they've also done their own self-seeding.

3) How and why do they communicate with other Aspen trees on earth?

They can communicate with other aspen trees on Earth by simply using the Earth. Trees have roots, and the roots allow them to dig into the Earth, and the energies associated with the Earth as well in there. So it's a little bit like once you're on the Earth from a tree's perspective, you can use the Earth as a communication medium [see "The History of God" pg. 402-412].

I'm being told they can transmit energy through the ley lines of the Earth. That makes it easier for them. The ley lines of course are energies. They're almost like the energy grids that we have on our body, where the lines of energy go to the different chakras, and there is a similar sort of thing. We have major chakras, minor chakras and mini-chakras, and the Earth has similar things or energy junctures, and these areas also can be classified as chakras, if you want to call them that. But the trees communicate telepathically by using the energies associated with the ley lines and working with those.

4) How and why do they communicate with other Aspen trees in the universe?

(46 min) And they communicate with other aspen trees in the rest of the universe by using the unseen lines that the Earth is connected to. Now I seem to remember mentioning something like this in one of the books, either "The History of God" [see pg. 224] or one of the "Beyond the Source" books.

But the gross physical is connected energetically all together, so every point and every gross physical representation in that point, that being a planet or a locally dense energy, is connected together by a sort of loose energy matrix, that keeps it together and keeps it in place. And the trees use that energy matrix, as it were, to communicate with as well.

5) Are Aspen trees everywhere or just in a few solar systems?

They're not just in a few solar systems, I'm being told, they're in 32 different galaxies in various different variations of the theme, as it were. So they're not just on our particular planet or the solar systems in our galaxy. They're on 32 other galaxies within the structure of the gross physical universe. And that includes some of the higher frequencies as well in the 4th and 5th, I'm being told, that they also appear within on those particular galaxies as well.

6) Are trees and all forms of plant life sentient and communicative with others of their species?

They do communicate with all. For instance, an oak will communicate with an oak, and an elm will communicate with an elm, simply because they're—as with the aspens, and I didn't explain this—they're all the same energy. They're all the same being basically, so they are like a collective mind really. They're a collective being, and they exist collectively.

And so although they are separate in physical manifestation, so to speak, they are connected energetically, and they can use this energy matrix that's within the universe, and that which we call the ley lines on the Earth to communicate with each other. And so we've got this ability to move around, or they've got the ability to move around in terms of their communication, and their intelligence as a result of that.

Now I'm told that they're not specifically sentient as such, but they are very intelligent. Sentience is a different classification, and I believe there is a question going to be asked about that in a moment. But it's interesting to note that the level of intelligence associated with them could be classified as being super-intelligent. Although we as incarnate human beings wouldn't be able to experience that communication with them, unless we commune with them.

When we commune with them on their own frequency, then we can start to pick up how connected they are. If you meditate close to a tree, and connect with it on a regular, frequent basis, you'll start to be able to communicate with it properly. And you'll see how the depth of what they are isn't specifically that tree, you'll start to pick up all the other trees on the planet [see "The History of God" pg. 403].

And if you go deeper, you'll start to pick up all the rest of the trees in this particular galaxy and the rest of the universe as well. So they all tend to communicate as a collective mind, so to speak, although their physical manifestations are protrusions from that mind, as it were, and they do have like a super-intelligence, but they're not specifically sentient as such. And I'm told that they can communicate with others that aren't of their species, because they are variations of a similar mind, a similar collective mind.

(50 min) So let's move on to the next question, or the series of questions.

8. Regarding the TES that we are each a part of & all of the Aspects it can have - basically, what advantage/disadvantages are there to having the maximal 12 Aspects?

Now very quickly before I go into the rest of this question, our True Energetic Self (TES) can project a total of 12 Aspects into any part of the multiverse. It generally tends to be the low frequencies, because of the opportunity for accelerated evolution through being incarnate, or associated with the lower frequencies of the multiverse or the physical universe, are enticing.

So they tend to sort of spend quite a bit of time accelerating evolutionary progression through projecting parts of themselves into the gross physical, and then move onwards and experience the rest of the multiversal environment. But they don't need to specifically experience the gross physical first, they can experience the other functions of the other universes within the multiverse in a random ad hoc way, dipping and diving into the gross physical whenever they need to.

So there's 12 Aspects that could be created. There's also [that] each of those Aspects can also project 12 smaller aspects of themselves, which are classified as Shards. Now the sentience associated with an Aspect is around 2.5%, if all 12 Aspects were projected from the TES, then there needs to be around 70% of the total sentience associated with the energy of the TES for remaining energies. So if there's 12 Aspects, then each of those would have 2.5% of the sentience associated with the TES.

And if an Aspect projected 12 Shards in total, they would each have 2.5% of the 2.5% of the sentience associated with their Aspect that has created them as a Shard, because the Aspect has to keep about 70% of its sentience intact, as it were, to maintain its own individuality.

So if all Aspects were projected from the TES, and all Aspects projected 12 Shards, there would be 144 different souls or sub-souls, so to speak, that could be projected into the physical universe or any other part of the multiverse to experience, learn and evolve on behalf of the TES, which is experiencing, learning and evolving on behalf of the Source, who is experiencing, learning and evolving on behalf of the Origin. So that explains that little bit. So let's go back into the question again. I'll start again.

Regarding the TES that we are each a part of & all of the Aspects it can have - basically, what advantage/disadvantages are there to having the maximal 12 Aspects? I realize more Aspects = more evolutionary opportunities for the TES to accrue content, but I'm looking at more mechanics issues here that drive these current conditions.

**a) Do all TES's have 12 Aspects, and if not, what is a driver of how many are allocated?
b) Can a TES change from having 12 Aspects to some lesser number & why would this happen?**

Before I go into c), let me explain that although our True Energetic Self could project 12 [Aspects], it's not uncommon for them not to. In fact, most of the TESs I have encountered in some of the readings I do as personal consultations, a vast number of them don't have all 12 [Aspects] projected. So really it's down to personal choice of the TES, and the desire to experience, learn and evolve, and the opportunities to experience, learn and evolve, as they come into play, so to speak.

And also how much sentience they want to attribute to a particular aspect, because they don't only have to give them the 2.5%. So if you've got a TES which has projected three Aspects, it could elect to give them the full amount of sentience that it can do. So if you've got 30% of sentience that you can attribute to those three, then you can give them 10% of sentience each, and so it's quite possible for those three Aspects can be very, very highly sentient, as it were.

(55 min) And then you start to get individuals, like the Christ or the Buddha or Yogananda come through in this incarnation, because they've got a high level of sentience associated with them. Or they will be an Aspect that's born into a body that introduces a completely new or novel technology that would never have been discovered by anybody else. So it's not necessarily a done deal that they all project all 12, by the way. So it can do 1 or 2 or 10 or 12 or 11, or you know, whatever it feels it wants to depending on what it's going to achieve and what it wants to achieve. So to finish off...

c) Can a TES change from a lesser number like 1 to more Aspects (max of 12)? This seems easier to see as a function, but your thoughts?

Yeah, as I just said, basically they have the opportunity to project as many as they want to, up to a maximum of 12. And the Aspect can project in a similar way as many Shards as it wants to, up to a maximum of 12.

Now I've asked the question a number of times, why is it 12? And it's to do with the function of the structure of what they are. Because ultimately we are, as Aspects of our True Energetic Selves, a function of individualization from the Source, which is a function of individualization from the Origin, and as such we are individualized smaller units of, and therefore, as a result of, we are sentience given a body of energy. And that body of energy has a certain structure. And that structure has to some extent limitations in terms of how it is, because it's all a function of 12 based upon how the Origin is structured.

And so what we are revolves around that structure, and what we can create will revolve around that structure as well. So we can only create 12 as incarnate Aspects. We could only create a maximum of 12 Shards, and our TES could only create a maximum of 12 Aspects. So I hope that helps that particular question.

9. Can you give a clear, concise definition of SENTIENCE? Is sentience merely an effect of emergent properties between two systems (aka organisms or energies)? The preamble goes:

- **I know you go over it in many ways in all your books, but I'm looking for a consolidated statement on what sentience is & one that can help those that cannot see sentience beyond a narrow human-centric definition, failing to even recognize it in the non-human animal communities.**
- **Sentience was the underpinning of CH 26, "How Source Entities Assign Sentience to Energy to Fulfill the Requirements of Creating Smaller Beings" in "The Origin Speaks," and on pg 364, The Origin is quoted as saying, "...it is the ability to work with...to have the potential to create sentience through the intelligent functions of working together and sacrificing their smaller individual intelligence for the creation of a larger single intelligence."**
- **This definition can then be applied to everything in existence - from the atoms in minerals, to the complex interworking of bee hive communities, to the massive grouping of government intelligence agencies becoming a global super-network - it seems there is more that is sentience than is not, looking at it this way.**

Well, very simply and if I look at it really simply and try and to put it in as few words as possible, sentience is the product of self-aware creativity. Within "The Origin Speaks" it goes through the levels of how energy can become sentient, if it's left up to itself, as it were, its own devices. And that's if nothing happens.

But in reality the sentience that occurs at the end of an energy's self-evolution—this isn't to do with sentience that is assigned a body of energy, like when the Source took its own sentience, divided it up and assigned it to different energies to allow us, our True Energetic Selves, to exist.

So sentience arises, when the intelligence has got to the point, where it's self-aware, where it is able to understand itself and its environment, and it's able to experiment with that environment, create within the environment, understand the results of that creation within the environment, and make changes to that creation to optimize its results, to improve basically.

(1 hr) And so that's the definition of sentience, the ability to evolve through creativity and augment that level of creativity by improving it. So that's what sentience basically is, and working with in that context, working with everything that is within the environment that it is creating within. That's not just the environment, but that's the other individual entities and energies, and other sentience that's within the environment.

Now super-sentience, I suppose is what you would call it, is what the Origin and the Source are, but in essence, because they're like polyomniscient sentience—it's like everywhere, whereas ours is sort of localized sentience. It certainly is very, very localized sentience, when we are incarnate.

But in essence, we can think of it in terms of that definition that I've just said, where sentience is the ability to become self-aware, create through that self-awareness, and look at the results of that creation, and modify it to improve it. So I hope that answered that particular question.

So I mean it's quite simple what sentience is, although I've no doubt that we've got lots and lots of philosophers on the planet, who would like to argue with that. But that's what I've got. And actually, as I was talking, I was actually getting information, I was channeling information as well to try and find, should I say, a more punchy summarized method of stating what sentience is, rather than the couple of pages that are in "The Origin Speaks."

So that comes to the end of the questions, and I'm really pleased I managed to get through all of the questions, because there was an awful lot of information, and I managed it quite efficiently, I think. So thank you very much for those people (US, JM, WP and ME) for those questions, very, very thoughtful questions, and very thought provoking. I learn as much from channeling the information from these questions, as you guys do as well, so everybody learns at the same time, so it's a win-win situation.

Part 3. Meditation

(1h2) So what we're going to do now is go through the end of Satsanga meditation to **Help others become more self-aware.** (Meditation is focused concentration, by the way, focused concentration on communicating with God and Self. It's not just closing the eyes and falling asleep.)

Please download the high quality [MP4 File](#) (or lower quality AMR audio file) to do the guided meditation with Guy Steven Needler via his website or blog.

(1h20) Closing comments: Well, thank you very much for listening to and working with this particular Satsanga on the 25th of February, 2017, which of course is in conjunction with Kevin Moore of [The Moore Show](#). It's wonderful to be able to work with you in this way, and I already feel the energies associated with people logging into this event space all around me.

So thank you very much again. The next Satsanga is on March 25th, 2017. In the meantime, I'm traveling to Beijing, China for a workshop, and then Japan. So I shall be very busy, busy, busy in the meantime. And also I'm going to the UFO Probe Conference in St. Annes in the UK to lecture on the subject of what are aliens and where they come from. And those of you who are interested on that lecture, I think the organizers are going to try and stream it. So it's available to other people as well or provide a recording of the conference, which is two days.

Those of you who are interested, you can gain some sort of prior information by going onto my website, which is www.beyondthesource.org and look at the Events tab at the top, click on that and then go down to the Lectures and click on that. And then on the very top a hyperlinks that go to the different pdf files of my lectures is the lecture I'm going to give there on [What are Aliens and UFOs](#). And enjoy the lecture, it's pretty much based upon the information I know, but also it's geared towards linking in with other knowledge as well.

So thank you very much again for joining this Satsanga on February 25th in conjunction with The Moore Show. And much love to you all and much love to everybody who I've worked with, and do have a great weekend and a great end of February. Goodbye and love to you all." END.